

National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation
(Technical division)

Minutes of Meeting

Date: 11.07.2017

Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC) met to evaluate bid for "Two/ Four laning of Imphal-Moreh Section of NH-39 from Km 330.00 to Km 395.680 in the State of Manipur" - Hiring of NGO reg. held at NHIDCL, New Delhi on 11.07.2017.

The RFP for the subject work were invited on 09.03.2017 with bid due date as **24.04.2017 till 1500 hrs.**

2. In all four (4) bids were received and vide Minutes of Meeting dated 25.04.2017 the Empowered Technical Bid Opening Committee (ETBC) opened the Technical proposals of the three (3) of Four (4) no. consultancy firms namely M/s Sai International Sansthan, M/s Janlok Kalyankari Samiti and M/s Manavadhikar Samajik Manch in the presence of the authorized representatives of the participated bidders who chose to attend. However online bid of M/s Organization for Tribals' Benefit programme has not been submitted on the portal, hence technical proposal was not opened. Further only three (3) bids of four (4) of consultancy firms namely *M/s Sai International Sansthan, M/s Janlok Kalyankari Samiti and M/s Manavadhikar Samajik Manch* was handed over to financial consultant M/s JPS Associate (P) Ltd for further evaluation.

3. The Financial Consultant M/s JPS Associate (P) Ltd submitted the preliminary technical evaluation report on 07.06.2017 (**Annex-I**), seeking clarifications from bidders namely M/s Sai International Sansthan, M/s Janlok Kalyankari Samiti and M/s Manavadhikar Samajik Manch. The Committee Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC) in the Meeting dated 13.06.2017 deliberated in details the report submitted by financial consultant and decided to seek clarification from three (3) bidders namely *M/s Sai International Sansthan, M/s Janlok Kalyankari Samiti and M/s Manavadhikar Samajik Manch* as recommended by financial consultant. The bidders were requested accordingly to clarify the queries before 19.06.2017.

4. Based on the replies to the queries received from the bidders, the financial consultant submitted the report dated 22.06.2017 (**Annex-II**) seeking further clarifications. During ETEC meeting dated 27.06.2017 the committee decided to give last chance to submit the experience certificate and the other observation by 1st week of July i.e. 05.07.2017. The bidders were requested accordingly to clarify the queries before 05.07.2017.

5. Accordingly replies to the queries were received from the bidders and forwarded to financial consultant for further evaluation and based on the reply received the financial consultant submitted its report dated 11.07.2017 (**Annex-III**). The committee ETEC examined the report in detail and the comments of the Committee vis-a-vis the recommendations are attached at **Annexure A**. Further the financial consultant has assumed following as regards to evaluation of CV:

(i) All CV's for the proposed personnel without corresponding Experience certificate have been considered.



(ii) In case of CV of the Mr. Thingnam Anil Kumar Singh for the position of Field Coordinator 1, who applied in two firms and submitted his CV's in both the firms, minimum marks from submitted CVs have been given to both firms.

6. Further the recommendations of Financial Consultant are as under:

S. No.	Name of Bidder	Specific experience of the NGO related to the Assignment (Max 20)	Adequacy of the proposed work plan and methodology in responding to the TOR (Max 10)	Qualifications and competence of the key professional staff for the Assignment (Max 70)	Total (Max 100)	Whether the Technical Responsive Applicant
1.	M/s Sai International Sansthan	20	10	59.10	89.1	Responsive
2.	M/s Janlok Kalyankari Samiti	20	10	61.90	91.9	Responsive
3.	M/s Manavadhikar Samajik Manch	20	10	57.18	87.2	Responsive

7. The committee perused the report of Financial Consultant Committee observed that the bids of the three (3) firms namely *M/s Sai International Sansthan*, *M/s Janlok Kalyankari Samiti* and *M/s Manavadhikar Samajik Manch* are technically responsive as the technical score of the firms are more than the minimum technical score required to pass i.e.75 Points.

8. In view of above the committee is of the view that financial bid of the following bidders may be opened for the subject work with the approval of the Competent Authority:

S. No.	Name of Bidder
1	M/s Sai International Sansthan
2	M/s Janlok Kalyankari Samiti
3	M/s Manavadhikar Samajik Manch

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.



Sanjeev Malik
ED-III
Convener



Col Rajeev Sood
(Retd)
GM (Tech)
Member Secretary



Adil Singh
GM (Tech)
Member



A K Jha
DGM (Tech)
Member




U Chatterjee
DGM (Fin.)
Member

I. M/s Janlok Kalyankari Samiti


Annexure-A

Sl No	Observation	Reply of Bidder	JPS Remarks	E/TEC Remark
1.	Bidder has submitted only work order and copy of Agreement but as per clause 5.1(C) of the RFP the bidder is required to submit Details of work Experience certificate with value of services rendered. Certificate issued by Client/ Principal Employer must be submitted along with proposal which has not been submitted by the Bidder. Please Clarify.	Enclose Annexure - A as	The reply provided by the bidder regarding work experience certificate (attached as Annexure- A) is satisfactory. Hence the same may be accepted.	Agreed
2	The Bidder has not pasted the photograph and has not attached the experience Certificate along with submitted CV's however as per clause 5.1(D) of the RFP "CV must contain photograph & Signature of the Key Person, age proof certificate, Educational Qualification certificate & Experience certificate and all certificates must be countersigned by the Authorized representative." Please Clarify.	Enclose Annexure - D, E, F, I and J.	As per the reply submitted by the Bidder it has enclosed the CV's as required under clause 5.1(D) as Annexure D, E, F, I and J of its reply. The same have been verified and appear to be satisfactory. Hence the same may be accepted.	Agreed
3	The Bidder has not submitted the Registration Certificate, however as per clause 5.1(G) of the RFP the Registration certificate of the NGO certified by the Authorized representative is required to be submitted. Please Clarify.	Enclose Annexure - B.	Provided the Registration Certificate (Annexure - B) and it is satisfactory. Hence the same may be accepted.	Agreed
4	The Bidder has not given the Declaration of genuineness of proposal on stamp paper along with submitted proposal, however as per clause 5.1(H) of the RFP it is mandatory to submit the same along with the Proposal. Please Clarify.	Enclose Annexure - C.	The reply provided by the bidder regarding genuineness (Annexure - C) is satisfactory. Hence the same may be accepted	Agreed
5	Team Leader (Mr. Vineet Pandey) The CV submitted along with the proposal is signed only by the Authorised Representative and not signed by the staff member. However, as per 3F (Note) of the RFP, "Each page of the CV should be signed in ink by both the staff member and the Authorized Representative of the NGO. Photocopies without signature of the two will not be considered for evaluation." Please Clarify.	Enclosed Annexure- A (after further clarification) as	The reply provided by the bidder is satisfactory. Hence, same may be considered. (Enclosed as Annexure- A)	Agreed
	The Bidder has not pasted the photograph and has not attached the experience Certificate along with submitted CV's however as per clause 5.1(D) of the RFP "CV must contain photograph & Signature of the Key Person and Experience	Enclose Annexure - D.	The reply attached as Annexure - D by the Bidder is satisfactory. Hence the same may be accepted.	Agreed




	certificate and all certificates must be countersigned by the Authorized representative.” Please Clarify.			
6	Field Coordinator1 (Mr. Rajesh Kumar Verma) The Bidder has not pasted the photograph and has not attached the experience Certificate along with submitted CV's. However, as per 3F (Note) of the RFP, “Each page of the CV should be signed in ink by both the staff member and the Authorized Representative of the NGO. Photocopies without signature of the two will not be considered for evaluation.” Please Clarify. The Bidder has not pasted the photograph and has not attached the experience Certificate along with submitted CV's however as per clause 5.1(D) of the RFP “CV must contain photograph & Signature of the Key Person and Experience certificate and all certificates must be countersigned by the Authorized representative.” Please Clarify.	Enclose Annexure – E. as	The reply attached as Annexure - E by the Bidder is satisfactory. Hence, the same may be accepted.	Agreed
	Field Coordinator2 (Mr. Abhay Nath Mishra) The CV submitted along with the proposal is signed only by the Authorised Representative and not signed by the staff member. However, as per 3F (Note) of the RFP, “Each page of the CV should be signed in ink by both the staff member and the Authorized Representative of the NGO. Photocopies without signature of the two will not be considered for evaluation.” Please Clarify.	Enclose Annexure – F. as	The reply attached as Annexure - F by the Bidder is satisfactory. Hence the same may be accepted.	Agreed
7	The Bidder has not pasted the photograph and has not attached the experience Certificate along with submitted CV's however as per clause 5.1(D) of the RFP “CV must contain photograph & Signature of the Key Person and Experience certificate and all certificates must be countersigned by the Authorized representative.” Please Clarify. In submitted CV along with the proposal the staff member claimed B.A (A.I.H, Education, P. Science) Lucknow University-2004. However, the bidder has not submitted any supporting document (Degree) regarding the same. Please Clarify.	Enclose Annexure – F. as	The reply attached as Annexure - F by the Bidder is satisfactory. Hence, the same may be accepted.	Agreed
8	Field Support Staff 1 (Ch. ThangpaAimol) The Bidder has not pasted the photograph and has not attached the experience Certificate along with submitted CV's however as per clause 5.1(D) of the RFP “CV must contain photograph of the Key Person and Experience certificate and all	Enclose Annexure – G. as	The reply attached as Annexure - G by the Bidder is satisfactory. Hence, the same may be accepted.	Agreed




	certificates must be countersigned by the Authorized representative.” Please Clarify.			
9	Field Support Staff 2 (Mr. K. Jangthaolung) The Bidder has not pasted the photograph and has not attached the experience Certificate along with submitted CV's however as per clause 5.1(D) of the RFP “CV must contain photograph of the Key Person and Experience certificate and all certificates must be countersigned by the Authorized representative.” Please Clarify.	Enclose Annexure – H.	as	The reply attached as Annexure - H by the Bidder is satisfactory. Hence, the same may be accepted.
10	Field Support Staff 3 (Mr. D. Moshim) The Bidder has not pasted the photograph and has not attached the experience Certificate along with submitted CV's however as per clause 5.1(D) of the RFP “CV must contain photograph of the Key Person and Experience certificate and all certificates must be countersigned by the Authorized representative.” Please Clarify.	Enclosed Annexure- B (after further clarification)	as	The reply provided by the bidder is satisfactory. Hence, same may be considered. Enclosed as Annexure- B
11	Field Support Staff 4 (Mr. Y. IbochouChothe) The Bidder has not pasted the photograph and has not attached the experience Certificate along with submitted CV's however as per clause 5.1(D) of the RFP “CV must contain photograph of the Key Person and Experience certificate and all certificates must be countersigned by the Authorized representative.” Please Clarify.	Enclosed Annexure- C (after further clarification)	as	The reply provided by the bidder is satisfactory. Hence, same may be considered. Enclosed as Annexure- C





II. M/s Manavadhikar Samajik Manch

Sl No	Observation	Reply of Bidder	JPS Remarks	ETEC Remark
1.	The Bidder has not provided the experience Certificate along with submitted CV's of all claimed projects. However, as per clause 5.1(D) of the RFP "CV must contain Experience certificate and all certificates must be countersigned by the Authorized representative." Please Clarify.	After the confirmation of our experts, they are unable to submit the more experience certificates. We have already submitted our experts experience certificates. So, we request you, kindly considered our bid for evaluation.	The bidder has provided few experience certificates in regards to projects claimed in CV. As the bidder has not provided the entire requisite experience certificate. However the CV is evaluated without corresponding Experience certificate. Hence Authority may take a view.	The proposed personnel may be considered.
2	<u>Team Leader (Mr. Dhritiman Dutta)</u> The Bidder has not provided the experience Certificate for all claimed projects along with submitted CV. However, as per clause 5.1(D) of the RFP "CV must contain Experience certificate and all certificates must be countersigned by the Authorized representative." Please Clarify.	After the confirmation of our experts, they are unable to submit the more experience certificates. We have already submitted our experts experience certificates. So, we request you, kindly considered our bid for evaluation.	The bidder has provided few experience certificates in regards to projects claimed in CV. As the bidder has not provided the entire requisite experience certificate. However the CV is evaluated without corresponding Experience certificate. Hence Authority may take a view.	The proposed personnel may be considered.
3	<u>Field Coordinator1 (Mr. Thingnam Anil Kumar Singh)</u> In CV submitted along with the proposal the staff member claimed B.A University of Punjab, 1994. However, the bidder has not submitted any supporting document (Degree) regarding the same. Please Clarify.	After the confirmation of our experts, they are unable to submit the more experience certificates. We have already submitted our experts experience certificates. So, we request you, kindly considered our bid for evaluation.	The bidder has not provided the B A supporting document (Degree). However the Degree of M. A in Sociology is provided. Hence the same can be considered.	Agreed







	The Bidder has not provided the experience Certificate for all claimed projects along with submitted CV. However, as per clause 5.1(D) of the RFP "CV must contain Experience certificate and all certificates must be countersigned by the Authorized representative." Please Clarify.	After the confirmation of our experts, they are unable to submit the more experience certificates. We have already submitted our experts experience certificates. So, we request you, kindly considered our bid for evaluation.	The bidder has provided few experience certificates in regards to projects claimed in CV. As the bidder has not provided the entire requisite experience certificate. However the CV is evaluated without corresponding Experience certificate. Hence Authority may take a view.	The proposed personnel may be considered.
4	Th. Anil Kumar Singh has applied in two bidder Manavadhikar Samajik Manch and SAI International Sansthan. Please Clarify.	Regarding this point, Th. Anilkumar Singh is still working with our organization since February 2014 as a Coordinator and is authorize for day to day correspondence with the Client (Project Director, PWD, Manipur) a letter issued to Client by his signature is being attached herewith. Attendance sheet and Bank Statement is also attached as evidence. Mr. Th. Anil Kumar Singh is working with us since 6th October 2016. The offer letter has been already given to you. We are also submitting the attendance sheet of our project office at Manipur and monthly bank deposit slip as a salary for your reference. The CV which we have submitted with technical proposal, he signed on the all pages of CV and certificates	The Manavadhikar Samajik Manch claimed that Mr. Th. Anil Kumar Singh is working since 6th October 2016 to till date. The documentary proof provided by the bidder is offer letter, attendance sheet and monthly bank deposit slip as a salary. And same document is also submitted by the Sai International Sansthan. As per the RFP uploaded there is no restriction for applying of the key personnel in one or more firms. After discussion with NHIDCL officials marks have been awarded to both the firm for the CV of Mr. Anil Kumar on the basis of the evaluation criteria given in the data sheet of the RFP.	Agreed
5	Field Coordinator2 (Mr. Kundan Shandilva) The Bidder has not provided the experience Certificate for all claimed projects along with submitted CV. However, as per clause 5.1(D) of the RFP "CV must contain Experience certificate and all certificates must be countersigned by the Authorized representative."	After the confirmation of our experts, they are unable to submit the more experience certificates. We have already submitted our experts experience certificates. So, we request you, kindly considered our bid for evaluation.	The bidder has provided few experience certificates in regards to projects claimed in CV. As the bidder has not provided the entire requisite experience certificate. However the CV is evaluated without corresponding Experience certificate. Hence Authority may take a view.	The proposed personnel may be considered.

hlt

20/10/16

Dr.

20/10/16

	Please Clarify.			
6	<u>Field Support Staff 1 (Mr. Peingam Zimik)</u> The Bidder has not provided the experience Certificate for all claimed projects along with submitted CV. However, as per clause 5.1(D) of the RFP “CV must contain Experience certificate and all certificates must be countersigned by the Authorized representative.” Please Clarify.	After the confirmation of our experts, they are unable to submit the more experience certificates. We have already submitted our experts experience certificates. So, we request you, kindly considered our bid for evaluation	The bidder has provided few experience certificates in regards to projects claimed in CV. As the bidder has not provided the entire requisite experience certificate. However the CV is evaluated without corresponding Experience certificate. Hence Authority may take a view.	The proposed personnel may be considered.
7	<u>Field Support Staff 2 (Ms. Rachel Gangmei)</u> The Bidder has not provided the experience Certificate for all claimed projects along with submitted CV. However, as per clause 5.1(D) of the RFP “CV must contain Experience certificate and all certificates must be countersigned by the Authorized representative.” Please Clarify.	After the confirmation of our experts, they are unable to submit the more experience certificates. We have already submitted our experts experience certificates. So, we request you, kindly considered our bid for evaluation	The bidder has provided few experience certificates in regards to projects claimed in CV. As the bidder has not provided the entire requisite experience certificate. However the CV is evaluated without corresponding Experience certificate. Hence Authority may take a view.	The proposed personnel may be considered..
8	<u>Fiel Support Staff 3 (Mr. Khangenbam Budhi Chandra Singh)</u> In CV submitted along with the proposal the staff member claimed B.Sc. From Manipur University, 1976. However, the bidder has submitted only the passing certificate of second year but not provided the Degree or mark sheet of third year . Please Clarify.	As per the Confirmation by the Mr. M. K. Budhi Chandra Singh confirm that in the year of 1976, the B.Sc. course was for two year only as per the course curriculum for the University.	The reply provided by the bidder is satisfactory. Hence, the same may be accepted.	Agreed
	In CV submitted along with the proposal the Bidder has not provided the <i>age proof certificate</i> & experience Certificate. However, as per clause 5.1(D) of the RFP “CV must contain <i>age proof certificate</i> & Experience certificate and all certificates must be countersigned by the Authorized representative.” Please Clarify.	After the confirmation of our experts, they are unable to submit the more experience certificates. We have already submitted our experts experience certificates. So, we request you, kindly considered our bid for evaluation.	The bidder has not provided the requisite experience certificate. However the CV is evaluated without corresponding Experience certificate. Hence Authority may take a view.	The proposed personnel may be considered.







9	Field Support Staff 4 (Mr. B. Noble) In CV submitted along with proposal the Bidder has provided the experience Certificate except from the period April 2012 to February 2015 with the employer of Architect Consultant Pvt. Ltd. However, as per clause 5.1(D) of the RFP "CV must contain Experience certificate and all certificates must be countersigned by the Authorized representative." Please Clarify.	After the confirmation of our experts, they are unable to submit the more experience certificates. We have already submitted our experts experience certificates. So, we request you, kindly considered our bid for evaluation.	The bidder has provided few experience certificates in regards to projects claimed in CV. As the bidder has not provided the entire requisite experience certificate. However the CV is evaluated without corresponding Experience certificate. Hence Authority may take a view.	The proposed personnel may be considered.
---	--	--	--	--

III. M/s SAI International Sansthan

Sl No	Observation	Reply of Bidder	JPS Remarks	ETEC Remark
1.	Power of Attorney submitted by the bidder is on Letter Head and not on Stamp Paper. Please Clarify.	In 5.1 (F) of RFP it was not clear that Power of Attorney is to be on Stamp Paper. However, now it is compiled with new P.O.A.	The bidder has provided the new POA on Stamp paper. Hence, the same may be accepted.	Agreed
2	The Bidder has not provided the experience Certificate along with CV of all claimed projects. However, as per clause 5.1(D) of the RFP "CV must contain Experience certificate and all certificates must be countersigned by the Authorized representative." Please Clarify.	Most of the projects are continuing at the moment. However, a similar project MN- 06 CW1 and MN- 06 CW2 have been completed about 95% with full satisfaction of PIU, Certificate attached. Also Clause 5.1 (D) of the RFP "CV" for Key Professionals not for Bidder.	The bidder has provided few experience certificates in regards to projects claimed in CV. As the bidder has not provided the entire requisite experience certificate. However the CV is evaluated without corresponding Experience certificate. Hence Authority may take a view.	The proposed personnel may be considered.
3	Team Leader (Ms. Sarita Rani) The Bidder has not provided the experience Certificate along with submitted CV of all claimed projects. However, as per clause 5.1(D) of the RFP "CV must contain Experience certificate and all	Regarding Experience Certificate (i) NHAI for 2000-2007 is attached. (ii) Other projects are still continuing The reason of Non Availability of Experience Certificate against all claimed project is that there	The bidder has provided few experience certificates in regards to projects claimed in CV. As the bidder has not provided the entire requisite experience certificate. However the CV is evaluated without corresponding	The proposed personnel may be considered.





<i>certificates must be countersigned by the Authorized representative."</i> Please Clarify.	is no standard policy with the Client/Employer to provide experience certificate. Secondly, most of the projects require more time than the original completion date. Staffs look for new job and on finding a new one they abandon the old one. in such case the NGO/ Employer/client behave reluctantly with them to give the Experience Certificate. Those staff who good at their job, Employer/client may provide experience certificate. A certificate received from the client for the period 2000 — 2007 is already submitted. Each page of the CV should be signed in ink by both the staff member and the Authorized Representative of the NGO. Photocopies without signature of the two will not be considered for evaluation was given in the format of CV contains as footnote which was overlooked. CV signed on each pages is being attached herewith.	Experience certificate. Hence Authority may take a view.	
Field Coordinator1 (Th. Anil Kumar Singh) In CV submitted along with proposal is signed only by the Authorised Representative but not signed by the staff member in ink. However, as per 3F (Note) of the RFP, "Each page of the CV should be signed in ink by both the staff member and the Authorized Representative of the NGO. Photocopies without signature of the two will not be considered for evaluation." Please Clarify.	Each page of the CV should be signed in ink by both the staff member and the Authorized Representative of the NGO. Photocopies without signature of the two will not be considered for evaluation" was given in the Format of CV contains as footnote which was overlooked. CV signed on each pages is being attached herewith.	The reply provided by the bidder is satisfactory. Hence, same may be considered.	Agreed
The Bidder has not provided the experience Certificate along with submitted CV of all claimed projects. However, as per clause 5.1(D) of the RFP "<i>CV must contain Experience certificate and all certificates must be countersigned by the Authorized representative."</i> Please Clarify.	The reason of Non Availability of Experience Certificate against all claimed project is that there is no standard policy with the Client/Employer to provide experience certificate. Secondly, most of the projects require more time than the original completion date. Staffs look for new job and on finding a new one they abandon the old one. In such case the NGO/ Employer/client behave	The bidder has provided few experience certificates in regards to projects claimed in CV. As the bidder has not provided the entire requisite experience certificate. However the CV is evaluated without corresponding Experience certificate. Hence Authority may take a view.	The proposed personnel may be considered.

Li

2 Min

Day

20/11/20

		reluctantly with them to give the Experience Certificate. Those staff who good at their job, Employer/client may provide experience certificate. A certificate received from the client for the period 2014 —till date is already submitted.		
	Th. Anil Kumar Singh has applied in two bidder ManavadhikarSamajikManch and SAI International Sansthan. Please Clarify.	Regarding this point, Th. Anil kumar Singh is still working with our organization since February 2014 as a Coordinator and is authorize for day to day correspondence with the Client (Project Director, PWD, Manipur) a letter issued to Client by his signature is being attached herewith. Attendance sheet and Bank Statement is also attached as evidence.	The SAI International claimed that Mr. Th. Anil Kumar Singh is working since February 2014 to till date. Attendance sheet and Bank Statement as documentary proof provided by the bidder and same also provided by Manavadhikar Samajik Manch. As per the RFP uploaded there is no restriction for applying of the key personnel in one or more firms. After discussion with NHIDCL officials marks have been awarded to both the firm for the CV of Mr. Anil Kumar on the basis of the evaluation criteria given in the data sheet of the RFP.	Agreed
5	Field Coordinator2 (Mr.Sanjeev Jha) In CV submitted along with proposal are signed only by the Authorised Representative and not signed by the staff member in ink. However, as per 3F (Note) of the RFP, "Each page of the CV should be signed in ink by both the staff member and the Authorized Representative of the NGO. Photocopies without signature of the two will not be considered for evaluation." Please Clarify.	Each page of the CV should be signed in ink by both the staff member and the Authorized Representative of the NGO. Photocopies without signature of the two will not be considered for evaluation" was given in the Format of CV contains as footnote which was overlooked. CV signed on each pages is being attached herewith.	The reply provided by the bidder is satisfactory. Hence, same may be considered.	Agreed
6	The Bidder has not provided the experience Certificate along with submitted CV of all claimed projects. However, as per clause 5.1(D) of the RFP	The reason of Non Availability of Experience Certificate against all claimed project is that there is no standard policy with the Client/Employer to	The bidder has provided few experience certificates in regards to projects claimed in CV. As the bidder	The proposed personnel may be considered.

hily

Sanjeev Jha

Sanjeev Jha

<i>"CV must contain Experience certificate and all certificates must be countersigned by the Authorized representative." Please Clarify.</i>	provide experience certificate. Secondly, most of the projects require more time than the original completion date. Staffs look for new job and on finding a new one they abandon the old one. In such case the NGO/ Employer/client behave reluctantly with them to give the Experience Certificate. Those staff who good at their job, Employer/client may provide experience certificate. Though following certificates are attached: i. From year 2000 to 31.07.2003 worked as programme coordinator in Resettlement and Rehabilitation work of World Bank Funded. Third National Highway Project of widening of G. T. Road (NH2) under Golden Quadrilateral Project of Govt. of India. ii. Worked as R & R Expert of a social team engaged in Resettlement & Rehabilitation work of World Bank Funded NHDP of NH28 under East West Corridor Project of Govt. of India from 20th April 2004 to Dec 2007.	has not provided the entire requisite experience certificate. However the CV is evaluated without corresponding Experience certificate. Hence Authority may take a view.	
7	Field Support Staff 1 (Mr.OinamBhumendro Singh) In CV submitted along with proposal is signed only by the Authorised Representative and not signed by the staff member in ink. However, as per 3F (Note) of the RFP, "Each page of the CV should be signed in ink by both the staff member and the Authorized Representative of the NGO. Photocopies without signature of the two will not be considered for evaluation."Please Clarify.	Each page of the CV should be signed in ink by both the staff member and the Authorized Representative of the NGO. Photocopies without signature of the two will not be considered for evaluation" was given in the Format of CV contains as footnote which was overlooked.CV signed on each pages is being attached herewith.	The reply provided by the bidder is satisfactory. Hence, same may be considered. Agreed

LiL

Q. M. Singh

D. Singh

Q. M. Singh

	The Bidder has not provided the experience Certificate along with submitted CV of all claimed projects. However, as per clause 5.1(D) of the RFP "CV must contain Experience certificate and all certificates must be countersigned by the Authorized representative." Please Clarify.	The reason of Non Availability of Experience Certificate against all claimed project is that there is no standard policy with the Client/Employer to provide experience certificate. Secondly, most of the projects require more time than the original completion date. Staffs look for new job and on finding a new one they abandon the old one. In such case the NGO/ Employer/client behave reluctantly with them to give the Experience Certificate. Those staff who good at their job, Employer/client may provide experience certificate.	The bidder has not provided the requisite experience certificate. However the CV is evaluated without corresponding Experience certificate. Hence Authority may take a view.	The proposed personnel may be considered.
	In submitted CV along with the proposal the staff member claimed Master of Social Work (M.S.W) from Imphal Study Center. However, the bidder has not submitted any supporting document (Degree) regarding the same. Please Clarify.	It was not available at the time of submission. Now it is available and is being appended, please permit to be included.	The reply provided by the bidder is satisfactory. Hence, the same may be accepted.	Agreed
8	Field Support Staff 2 (Mr. LongjamBirbal Singh) In CV submitted along with proposal is signed only by the Authorised Representative and not signed by the staff member in ink. However, as per 3F (Note) of the RFP, "Each page of the CV should be signed in ink by both the staff member and the Authorized Representative of the NGO. Photocopies without signature of the two will not be considered for evaluation." Please Clarify.	Each page of the CV should be signed in ink by both the staff member and the Authorized Representative of the NGO. Photocopies without signature of the two will not be considered for evaluation" was given in the Format of CV contains as footnote which was overlooked. CV signed on each pages is being attached herewith.	The reply provided by the bidder is satisfactory. Hence, same may be considered.	Agreed
9	The Bidder has not provided the experience Certificate for all the projects claimed along with submitted CV. However, as per clause 5.1(D) of the RFP "CV must contain Experience certificate and all certificates must be countersigned by the Authorized	The reason of Non Availability of Experience Certificate against all claimed project is that there is no standard policy with the Client/Employer to provide experience certificate. Secondly, most of the projects require more time than the original	The bidder has not provided the requisite experience certificate. However the CV is evaluated without corresponding Experience certificate. Hence Authority may take a view.	The proposed personnel may be considered.





<i>representative.”</i> Please Clarify.	completion date. Staffs look for new job and on finding a new one they abandon the old one. In such case the NGO/ Employer/client behave reluctantly with them to give the Experience Certificate. Those staff who good at their job, Employer/client may provide experience certificate.		
In CV submitted along with the proposal the staff member claimed B.Sc. from Manipur University. However, the bidder has not submitted any supporting document (Degree) regarding the same. Please Clarify.	It was not available at the time of submission. Now it is available and is being appended, please permit to be included.	The reply provided by the bidder is satisfactory. Hence, the same may be accepted.	Agreed
Field Support Staff 3 (KshGyanit Singh) In CV submitted along with proposal is signed by the Authorized Representative but not signed by the staff member in ink. However, as per 3F (Note) of the RFP, “Each page of the CV should be signed in ink by both the staff member and the Authorized Representative of the NGO. Photocopies without signature of the two will not be considered for evaluation.” Please Clarify.	Each page of the CV should be signed in ink by both the staff member and the Authorized Representative of the NGO. Photocopies without signature of the two will not be considered for evaluation” was given in the Format of CV contains as footnote which was overlooked. CV signed on each pages is being attached herewith.	The reply provided by the bidder is satisfactory. Hence, same may be considered.	Agreed
The Bidder has not provided the experience Certificate of all projects claimed along with submitted CV. However, as per clause 5.1(D) of the RFP “CV must contain Experience certificate and all certificates must be countersigned by the Authorized representative.” Please Clarify.	The reason of Non Availability of Experience Certificate against all claimed project is that there is no standard policy with the Client/Employer to provide experience certificate. Secondly, most of the projects require more time than the original completion date. Staffs look for new job and on finding a new one they abandon the old one. In such case the NGO/ Employer/client behave reluctantly with them to give the Experience Certificate. Those staff who good at their job, Employer/client may provide experience	The bidder has not provided the entire requisite experience certificate. However the CV is evaluated without corresponding Experience certificate. Hence Authority may take a view.	The proposed personnel may be considered.

Lil

20/11/2018

[Signature]

[Signature]

		certificate.			
	In CV submitted along with the proposal, the staff member claimed Bachelor degree in Economics from Madras University. However, the bidder has not submitted any supporting document (Degree) regarding the same. Please Clarify.	It was not available at the time of submission. Now it is available and is being appended, please permit to be included.	The reply provided by the bidder is satisfactory. Hence, the same may be accepted.	Agreed	
11	<u>Field Support Staff 4 (IrengbamRonil Kumar Singh)</u> In submitted CV along with proposal only signed by the Authorised Representative but not signed by the staff member in ink. However, as per 3F (Note) of the RFP, "Each page of the CV should be signed in ink by both the staff member and the Authorized Representative of the NGO. Photocopies without signature of the two will not be considered for evaluation." Please Clarify.	Each page of the CV should be signed in ink by both the staff member and the Authorized Representative of the NGO. Photocopies without signature of the two will not be considered for evaluation" was given in the Format of CV contains as footnote which was overlooked. CV signed on each pages is being attached herewith.	The reply provided by the bidder is satisfactory. Hence, same may be considered.	Agreed	

Lil

3/11/21

for

P

for

The Bidder has not provided the experience Certificate for all claimed projects along with submitted CV. However, as per clause 5.1(D) of the RFP <i>“CV must contain Experience certificate and all certificates must be countersigned by the Authorized representative.”</i> Please Clarify.	The reason of Non Availability of Experience Certificate against all claimed project is that there is no standard policy with the Client/Employer to provide experience certificate. Secondly, most of the projects require more time than the original completion date. Staffs look for new job and on finding a new one they abandon the old one. In such case the NGO/ Employer/client behave reluctantly with them to give the Experience Certificate. Those staff who good at their job, Employer/client may provide experience certificate.	The bidder has not provided the entire requisite experience certificate. However the CV is evaluated without corresponding Experience certificate. Hence Authority may take a view.	The proposed personnel may be considered.
In CV submitted along with the proposal the staff member claimed Bachelor degree from Manipur University. However, the bidder has not submitted any supporting document (Degree) regarding the same. Please Clarify.	It was not available at the time of submission. Now it is available and is being appended, please permit to be included.	The reply provided by the bidder is satisfactory. Hence, the same may be accepted.	Agreed

Lil

23/11/19

Signature

Signature

Signature